

**MERCATUS CENTER AT GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY  
GOVERNMENT-SPONSORED ENTERPRISES (GSE) SYMPOSIUM: REFORMING FANNIE & FREDDIE**

	<b>“The Future of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac”</b>  <b>Anthony Sanders and Michael Lea</b>	<b>“Two Approaches to GSE Reform”</b>  <b>Arnold Kling</b>	<b>“A New Housing Finance System for the United States”</b>  <b>Peter Wallison</b>	<b>“Reforming the U.S. Mortgage Market Through Private Market Incentives”</b>  <b>Dwight Jaffee</b>	<b>“The Way Forward: Residential Mortgage Finance in a Post-GSE World”</b>  <b>Lawrence J. White</b>
<b>Key Points</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Government guarantee not necessary to entice private investors to purchase mortgage-backed securities</li> <li>• GSEs have crowded out private-sector secondary-mortgage market</li> <li>• There is nothing unique about the GSEs that the private sector could not also provide under the right conditions</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Best approach to reform: phase out GSEs over a 3–5 year period</li> <li>• Allow alternate channels of mortgage financing to evolve</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Government involvement in U.S. housing market inevitably involves losses to taxpayers but produces few benefits</li> <li>• U.S. housing market will function well without GSEs; government backing not needed for 30-year mortgages</li> <li>• Benefits of the GSE structure have already been realized</li> <li>• Success of Jumbo market points to crowding-out effect of the conforming market</li> <li>• High-quality mortgages will ensure a liquid and robust market in absence of government guarantee</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• GSEs create costs to taxpayers that far exceed expected benefits</li> <li>• Private incentives and institutions are sufficient to create a functional and efficient mortgage market</li> <li>• Western European countries provide model of housing markets with comparable home ownership but with minimal government intervention</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Don't use financial system to address social externalities, low-income housing goals, or maintenance of residential-property housing values</li> <li>• Don't use system to support employment in home building, real estate, or mortgage lending</li> </ul>
<b>Reforms</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduce conforming loan limit by 10% in first year; after a review, and if house prices remain stable, continue 10% decrease until new limit reaches 50% of original</li> <li>• By the end of the fifth year, no more purchasing or insuring mortgages</li> </ul>	<u>Option 1</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Do away with affordable-housing goals</li> <li>• Require 10–20% down payment, and restrict mortgages to long-term fixed rates for well-qualified borrowers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduce GSEs' conforming loan limit by 20% per year</li> <li>• After three years, a formal review would take place, and unless Congress votes otherwise, reductions would continue until terminated after year five</li> <li>• Prevent GSEs from buying anymore mortgage-backed securities as they are winding down</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gradually reduce conforming loan limit by \$100,000 per year over seven years</li> <li>• Existing GSE balance sheet would run off over same time</li> <li>• Retain FHA &amp; HUD programs to support low-income and first-time homebuyers</li> </ul>	<u>Option 1</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rely on increased financing through depository institutions and private-label securitization</li> <li>• Reduce conforming loan limits by 10% per year</li> <li>• Increase GSEs guarantee fees by 5 basis points/year until it is 25 basis points higher</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Immediately cease affordable-housing goals; at no time during the five-year sunset will GSEs be allowed to purchase non-prime, low down payment mortgages</li> <li>• The current portfolios should be immediately frozen to new additions; transfer (or sell) the portfolio to the Fed, who would finance this purchase through sales of some existing Treasury holdings</li> <li>• Under Fed supervision, the portfolios will be unwound (sold or allowed to run off) over five years or more</li> <li>• Prohibit any non-mortgage investments during sunset period</li> <li>• Continue FHA/HUD affordable-housing programs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Re-offer GSE equity through IPO; old shareholders would be wiped out permanently.</li> <li>• Create separate “bad bank” to hold low-quality/nonperforming mortgage portfolio</li> <li>• Oversight by Treasury</li> </ul> <p><u>Option 2</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Phase out GSEs over 3–5 years</li> <li>• Allow private sector to develop alternative to GSEs</li> <li>• Return of traditional local bank funding and holding of mortgages</li> <li>• Require additional monitoring of interest rate risk among banks; constant verification of safety and soundness of institutions</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Create liquidating trust w/ Treasury securities to guaranty remaining liabilities; when last mortgage is refinanced or sold, all remaining net worth shortfall would be borne by taxpayers</li> <li>• May only invest in short-term Treasury bills</li> <li>• GSE “nonfinancial capital” would be auctioned off with proceeds going to Treasury to offset final net losses</li> <li>• FHA continues to insure low-income borrowers, but commitments will be on budget and transparent</li> <li>• Congress would set prudent standards for FHA mortgages: minimum FICO and down payments, maximum home price</li> <li>• Ensure liquidity of MBS market and discourage bubbles by regulating securitized mortgages to require (1) 10–20 percent down payment, (2) debt-to-income ratio of no more than 38 percent, and (3) FICO score of at least 660</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expand regulatory oversight of depository institutions with regard to all their mortgage funding/investing activities</li> <li>• “Covered Bonds”</li> <li>• If not a private market, allow government to guarantee conforming mortgages</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stricter prudential regulation including higher capital standards</li> <li>• Limited role for Ginnie Mae as securitizer of FHA/VA loans</li> </ul> <p><u>Option 2</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The government would offer side-by-side insurance alongside private mortgage guarantors.</li> <li>• Initial ratio would be 25% private, 75% government; government pricing would be entirely passive, simply matching the corresponding private insurance rate</li> <li>• Insurance would only be applied to suitable prime mortgages and would not be mandatory</li> <li>• As capital returns to the market, government would reduce its share until it is zeroed out</li> </ul>
<p><b>Outcomes/ Predictions</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Higher mortgage rates: 50–100 basis points in the short-run, 40–100 points in the long run</li> <li>• Home prices would fall slightly</li> <li>• More short-term and variable-rate mortgages</li> </ul>	<p><u>Option1</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Maintains ability to channel global capital for U.S. home ownership</li> <li>• Reduce ability of consumers to repeatedly refinance at lower rates</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Private securitization will take over as GSEs wind-down</li> <li>• Prime mortgages will increase share of market again and find large demand for such assets</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More choice in mortgage products (type of rates, prepayment penalties, recourse, etc.)</li> <li>• Private sector will provide stability and access to mortgage credit</li> <li>• Contract standards would be set by private market</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Government crowd out would be reduced and a well functioning mortgage financing system would remain</li> <li>• 30-year fixed-rate mortgage would remain the staple of the market</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Marginally lower homeownership rates—lower consumption of housing</li> <li>• Safer mortgages would be a higher share of the market due to higher down payments</li> <li>• Alternative capital will enter the market as rates rise on higher quality mortgages</li> <li>• Banks will hold more mortgage on their own balance sheet</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Availability of government provision of emergency funding would still be necessary</li> </ul> <p><u>Option 2</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduction in number of 30-year fixed-rate mortgages</li> <li>• Shift toward variable rate and five-year rollover mortgages</li> <li>• Increase in average down payment</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expanded private mortgage insurance market</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Risks reduced through more transparent tranching, expanded private mortgage insurance, and/or CDS hedging</li> <li>• 25 basis point increase in rates</li> </ul>
--	---	--	--	--	---